

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/693,679	09/693,679 10/19/2000		Paul Fulton	2741.US.P	7209	
56436	7590	03/27/2006		EXAMINER		
3COM CO 350 CAMPU			WARE, CICELY Q			
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752-3064				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2611		
				DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/693,67	'9	FULTON, PAUL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Cicely Wa		2634	·			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 EIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate to reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. The period will apply and wind statute, cause the apply apply apply apply apply and will apply appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be time. Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commo D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>06 March 2006</i> .						
· <u> </u>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌 🥫	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with the above claim(s) is/are with the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from co						
Application	on Papers							
10)⊠ 7	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 2006</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	s/are: a)⊠ accep n to the drawing(s) b correction is requir	oe held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR ²				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce action from the International ce the attached detailed Office action for the certification from the Internation from the action for the acti	cuments have bee cuments have bee ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age			
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- No(s)/Mail Date	·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see **Remarks**, filed 3/6/2006 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-10 and 21-30 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Sward et al. (US Patent 6,545,643)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsukane et al. (US Patent 5,467,341) in view of Sward et al. (US Patent 6,545,643).
- (1) With regard to claim1, Matsukane et al. discloses in a method indicating reception performance of a wireless signal at a radio frequency peripheral component card of a computer system said method comprising: receiving said wireless signal at a wireless receiver said radio frequency peripheral component card; demodulating said wireless signal; determining an error rate of a digital data portion of said wireless signal; and indicating a quality level of reception of said wireless signal at said electronic device

Art Unit: 2634

based on said error rate (abstract, col. 1, lines 7-10, 13-47, 53-59, col. 5, lines 40-47, 54-57, col. 6, lines 55-65, col. 8, lines 23-36, col. 10, lines 22-26, col. 12, lines 26-35,).

However Matsukane et al. does not disclose indicating a quality level of reception of said wireless signal at said radio frequency peripheral component card based on said error rate using an indicator component of said radio frequency peripheral component card.

However Sward et al. discloses a retractable antenna PC card in (Figs. 3, 4A, 4B, 7A, 7B) comprising indicating a quality level of reception of said wireless signal at said radio frequency peripheral component card based on said error rate using an indicator component of said radio frequency peripheral component card (abstract, col. 1, lines 55-67 – col. 2, lines 1-2, 15-20, 39-49, col. 5, lines 12-19, 65-67 – col. 6, lines 1-5, col. 13, lines 37-67 – col. 14, lines 1-16).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Matsukane et al. to incorporate indicating a quality level of reception of said wireless signal at said radio frequency peripheral component card based on said error rate using an indicator component of said radio frequency peripheral component card in order to obtain better coverage, mechanical reliability and aesthetics, eliminate blockage of a single antenna due to intervening objects or multipath problems and increase stability and robustness (Sward et al., col. 4, lines 26-37).

(2) With regard to claim 2, claim 2 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said quality level of reception is indicated via

Art Unit: 2634

a light-emitting device (col. 6, lines 21-30, col. 7, lines 40-42, col. 8, lines 59-67, col. 9, lines 1-8).

- (3) With regard to claim 3, claim 3 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

 Matsukane et al. further discloses the step of linearly translating said error rate into said quality level to notify of the favorable reception state by the luminescence (display)(col. 4, lines 19-21, col. 8, col. 8, lines 59-67, col. 9, lines 1-8).
- (4) With regard to claim 4, claim 4 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

 Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said error rate is a packet error rate to notify of the favorable reception state (abstract, col. 3, lines 32-35)
- (5) With regard to claim 5, claim 5 inherits all the limitations of claim 4.

 Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein the packet error rate is determined by a cyclic redundancy code (CRC) algorithm (abstract, col. 3, lines 32-38, col. 10, lines 4-5).
- (6) With regard to claim 6, claim 6 inherits all the limitations of claim 4.

 Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein the packet error rate is determined by a forward error correction algorithm to enable two-way error free transfer of data (col. 3, lines 32-35).
- (7) With regard to claim 7, claim 7 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

 Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said quality level is linearly proportional to said error rate of said wireless signal (col. 6, lines 25-27, col. 9, lines 2-8).
- (8) With regard to claim 8, claim 8 inherits all the limitations of claim 1.

 Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses the step of adaptively updating said

step(c of determining said error rate and said step d) of indicating said quality level (col. 9, lines 21-41).

- (9) With regard to claim 9, claim 9 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses in the steps of recording a history of said quality level with respect to another variable; identifying a maximum quality level; and indicating when said quality is at said maximum level (col. 8, lines 59-65, col. 9, lines 1-20).
- (10) With regard to claim 10, claim 10 inherits all the limitations of claim 1. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. discloses in the steps of providing feedback to control reception, said feedback relate to said quality level of reception; and adjusting said reception based on said feedback, thereby improving said quality level of said reception (col. 7, lines 17-26, col. 9, lines 9-10).
- (11) With regard to claim 11, see rejection of claim 1. Matsukane et al. further discloses the radio frequency peripheral component card comprising: a receiver; a processor, said processor coupled to said receiver; and a computer readable memory unit, said computer readable memory unit coupled to said processor, said computer readable memory unit containing program instructions stored therein that cause the processor to determine an error rate of a digital data portion of a received and demodulated wireless signal (col. 10, lines 9-51).
- (12) With regard to claim 12, claim 12 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 2 above.

- (13) With regard to claim 13, claim 13 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 3 above.
- (14) With regard to claim 14, claim 14 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 4. Matsukane further discloses the radio frequency peripheral component card (abstract, col. 1, lines 32-47, col. 3, lines 32-35, col. 10, lines 22-26)
- (15) With regard to claim 15, claim 15 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 5. Matsukane et al. further discloses the radio frequency peripheral component card (abstract, col. 1, lines 32-47, col. 3, lines 32-38, col. 10, lines 22-26).
- (16) With regard to claim 16, claim 16 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 6. Matsukane et al. further discloses the radio frequency peripheral component card (col. 1, lines 32-47, col. 3, lines 32-35, col. 10, lines 22-26).
- (17) With regard to claim 17, claim 17 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 7 above.
- (18) With regard to claim 18, claim 18 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 8 above.
- (19) With regard to claim 19, claim 19 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 9 above.
- (20) With regard to claim 20, claim 20 inherits all the limitations of claims 11 and 10 above.
- (21) With regard to claim 21, see rejection of claim 1. Matsukane et al. further discloses a computer readable medium containing therein computer readable codes for causing a radio frequency peripheral component card of a computer system to

Art Unit: 2634

implement a method of managing multipath signals to increase the degree of mobility and increase the area of communication coverage (col. 1, lines 61-67, col. 2, lines 1-30, 66-67, col. 3, lines 1-4, col. 10, lines 18-29).

- (22) With regard to claim 22, claim 22 inherits all the limitations of claim 21.

 Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said quality level of reception is indicated via a light-emitting device (col. 6, lines 21-30, col. 7, lines 40-42, col. 8, lines 59-67, col. 9, lines 1-8).
- (23) With regard to claim 23, claim 23 inherits all the limitations of claim 21.

 Matsukane et al. further discloses the step of linearly translating said error rate into said quality level to notify of the favorable reception state by the luminescence (display)(col. 4, lines 19-21, col. 8, col. 8, lines 59-67, col. 9, lines 1-8).
- (24) With regard to claim 24, claim 24 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said error rate is a packet error rate (abstract, col. 3, lines 32-35).
- (25) With regard to claim 25, claim 25 inherits all the limitations of claim 24. Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein the packet error rate is determined by a cyclic redundancy code (CRC) algorithm (abstract, col. 3, lines 32-38).
- (26) With regard to claim 26, claim 26 inherits all the limitations of claim 24. Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein the packet error rate is determined by a forward error correction algorithm (col. 3, lines 32-35).
- (27) With regard to claim 27, claim 27 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses wherein said quality level is linearly

Application/Control Number: 09/693,679 Page 8

Art Unit: 2634

proportional to said error rate of said wireless signal (col. 6, lines 25-27, col. 9, lines 2-8).

- (28) With regard to claim 28, claim 28 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses the step of adaptively updating said of determining said error rate and said step of indicating said quality level (col. 9, lines 21-41).
- (29) With regard to claim 29, claim 29 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. further discloses in the steps of recording a history of said quality level with respect to another variable; identifying a maximum quality level; and indicating when said quality is at said maximum level (col. 8, lines 59-65, col. 9, lines 1-20).
- (30) With regard to claim 30, claim 30 inherits all the limitations of claim 21. Furthermore, Matsukane et al. discloses in the steps of providing feedback to control reception, said feedback relate to said quality level of reception; and adjusting said reception based on said feedback, thereby improving said quality level of said reception (col. 7, lines 17-26, col. 9, lines 9-10).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-3047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

Art Unit: 2634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-3988. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw

March 21, 2006

KHAITRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Warsnargh

Page 9